

European Research Council

Supporting top researchers from anywhere in the world

A story about convection, penetration, rotation and waves in stars

I. Baraffe (University of Exeter - CRAL-ENS Lyon)

Exeter: T. Constantino, T. Guillet, D.Vlaykov, A. LesauxWarwick: T. GoffreyAtlanta: J. Pratt

- Brief introduction to physical conditions in stars (solar-like)
- Observational constraints on rotation, convection and waves in the Sun
- The first step toward a systematic numerical analysis of convection ↔ penetration ↔ waves ↔ rotation (work in progress)

Characteristics of stellar interiors and stellar convection

- Complex regime of parameters

- Re = LU/v >> 10⁶ (intertial/viscous) turbulent convection
- Prandtl Pr << 1

- Characterised by very different timescales

Sun $\tau_{dyn} \sim (R^3/GM)^{1/2} \sim 30 \text{ min}$

 τ_{conv} ~ 6 days $\tau_{thermal}$ ~ GM²/(RL) ~ 2 10⁷ yr

- Very different lengthscales

Pressure scale height: $H_P = dr/dlnP$ centre: $H_P \sim R_{star}$ Surface: $H_P \sim 10^{-3} - 10^{-2} \times R_{star}$

- Range of Mach numbers (M $\sim 10^{-6}$ - 1)

surface: velocities ~ C_{sound}



Solar rotation rate



From helioseismology: Inferred rotation rate as a function of fractional radius r/R and latitude

 \rightarrow Differential rotation in the convective envelope

Solar rotation: equator is faster than pole

➡ The Sun's differential rotation is maintained by turbulent transport of angular momentum through the action of Reynolds stresses

Spatial and temporal correlations between flow components that generate such stresses are thought to be imposed through Coriolis deflection of convective motions

 \implies Very strong connection between convection and rotation

Solar subsurface convective velocities: the "convective conundrum"



Convective velocities as a function of spherical harmonic degree I and depth inferred from helioseismic data

►Large scale flows deep (depth ~ 30 Mm r/R_☉ ~ 0.957) in the convective zone are significantly weaker than those predicted by most numerical simulations Dynamical balance in numerical simulations is far from solar conditions?
 Or

► Problems with the method which underestimates velocities below few Mm?

Reanalysis of the solar data by Greer et al. 2015:



Observations suggest small Ro number at depth of \sim 30 Mm and thus rotationally constrained convection



According to Hanasoge et al. interior convection would be strongly geostrophically balanced (i.e., rotationally dominated) on these scales.

Many numerical simulations are in the wrong rotational regime for solar-like conditions

3D simulations of solar convective envelope with our compressible code MUSIC (r/R_ $_{\odot}$ = 0.7 - 0.96)



r/R



Constantino, Baraffe et al. in prep

Increased viscosity or lower diffusivity (higher Pr) or magnetic fields, can yield to solar-like rotation (and solve the solar convection conundrum)

Interesting: convective penetration (overshooting) and inclusion of stable layers beneath the convective zone have a profound impact on differential rotation profile (*Beaudoin et al 2018, ApJ*)

← Even more Interesting: importance of taking into account non-local convection effects, i.e surface cooling leading to downward plumes that transport angular momentum radially inward
 → despite high Pr, this leads to antisolar-rotation (Karak et al 2018, PhFI)

➡ A consistent picture requires to account for surface cooling (near surface layers) and the interaction between convective zone/stable layers

Convective Penetration ("overshooting")

Penetration/Overshooting: Extension of convective motions beyond the convective boundary in stably stratified regions

Boundary: Schwarzschild criterion for instability



▶ Many observational evidences for presence of overshooting in stars of essentially all masses $\gtrsim 1 M_{\odot}$



Velocity magnitude during steady-state convection, in a 128° wedge of our sun at ~ 1 Myr, simulated with a the three-dimensional, time-implicit, compressible LES, stellar evolution code MUSIC (ERC Advanced grant, University of Exeter).

(Roxburgh 1965; Shaviv & Salpeter 1973; Schmitt et al 1984, etc...)

Constraints from helioseismology

Frequencies of resonant acoustic waves depend on the adiabatic sound speed c

 $c^2 = \Gamma_1 p/\rho \propto \Gamma_1 T/\mu$

- ➡ helisoseismology provides information about the profile of c² in the Sun's interior
- ⇒ Indication of extra mixing below the convective boundary *Christensen-Dalsgard 1993*

Convective penetration most likely the best process driving chemical mixing



Internal Waves (gravity or gravito-inertial)



Radial Velocity magnitude: characteristic patterns of convection with up-wards and down-wards "plumes" **Transport of angular momentum** by convectively (and/or penetrating flows) excited internal waves

• Suggestion of a similar mechanism as the QBO in the Sun with shear-layer oscillations in the "tachocline" (Kumar et al. 1999)

Major mechanism for the wave damping in stellar interior is radiative damping:

Damping distance: $d \propto \frac{w^4}{l^3 K_T}$ Thermal diffusivity $K_T = \frac{16\sigma T^3}{3\rho^2 \kappa c_p}$

 \Longrightarrow larger damping (i.e small d) for decreasing frequency w

Basic picture:

Frequency of waves excited at convective boundary r_c in the rest frame at radius r: $w_{rf}^{m}(r) = w_c + m [\Omega_c - \Omega(r)]$

If $\Omega(\mathbf{r})$ increases with depth below the convective zone ($\Omega(\mathbf{r}) > \Omega_{c}$)

- → **Prograde** (m > 0) are shifted to **lower** w
- \rightarrow **Retrograde** (m< 0) are shifted to **higher** w
- \Rightarrow Damping of prograde waves is enhanced (shorter damping length) relative to retrograde waves (d αw^4)

 \implies positive angular momentum deposited just below r_c while retrograde waves deposit their negative angular momentum further away

double-peaked shear layer

- \implies prograde shear layer propagates toward r_c to eventually merge with shear layer just below convective zone
- rocess repeats leading to oscillatory behaviour i.e QBO-like process

Attempts to find evidence of this process in hydrodynamical simulations of the Sun

- No QBO-like oscillations at the convective boundary (tachocline) Suggestion of a low-amplitude QBO-like oscillation well below the convective boundary (*Rogers et al. 2006*)
- Simplified numerical experiments can produce oscillating shear flows (*Rogers et al. 2008*)

► Importance of critical layers where waves are attenuated and which could dominate the mean flow dynamics

Importance of the spectrum and amplitudes of waves driven (i.e excitation mechanism of the waves \rightarrow convection/turbulence/plumes?)

Press 1981: "Convective overshoot and sub scale turbulent instability of a highly nonlinear internal wave are two aspects of a single, but **messy**, physical process"

To understand/describe waves and transport of angular momentum in stellar radiative cores • one needs to understand the full connection(s) between convection-penetrationrotation-waves

A very long journey!

Our first step of this thousand miles journey is to **understand convection and penetration** and their close inter-connection *(which impact convective velocities,* differential *rotation, waves and vice-versa....)*

Our approach of the problem: a systematic survey of convection/penetration based on 2D and 3D fully compressible time implicit simulations

In progress

 Explore the impact of radial extension of the numerical domain: Near-surface layers + stable radiative layers

Analyse the excitation of waves

Next steps:

• Explore the impact of rotation and transport of angular momentum

• Explore the impact of magnetic fields



Primary goal: understand the connection between the dynamics in the convective zone and plumes in the penetration region (characterise the mixing, wave excitation, etc..)



Numerical simulations with MUSIC (Viallet et al. 2011, 2013, 2016; Goffrey et al. 2017)

- Spherical geometry (2D or 3D)
- Finite volume scheme
- Time implicit solver
- Fully compressible hydrodynamics
- Thermal diffusion $\nabla \cdot (\chi \nabla T)$ Radiative conductivity $\chi = 16\sigma T^3/3\kappa\rho$
- Realistic stellar stratification

Realistic equation of state (ionisation, partial degeneracy, mixture of composition, etc

Velocity magnitude : very high res 2432x2048

► A new approach to describe convective penetration: Extreme value statistics (Pratt et al. 2017, 2019)

Typical shape of the penetration depths (at a given time): extent of downflows (defined by vertical flux of kinetic energy -> 0) beyond the convective boundary varies with colatitude θ



Impact of radial extension of the numerical domain:

(*In progress*) wide survey (2D/3D) of a solar-like model with variable radial extensions in the range r/R = 0.1 - 1

 General increase of radial velocities when including more near-surface layers (effect of surface cooling on the strength of convective plumes)

RMS of radial velocity 25000 r/R ₀ [0.6 - 0.9][0.6 - 0.94]20000 [0.6 - 0.97][0.6 - 0.99]15000 л 10000 5000 0 0.65 0.70 0.75 0.80 0.85 0.90 0.95 1.00 0.60 r/R ₀

Determination of the Penetration depths r₀ for all downflows below convective zone



Vlaykov et al., in prep



The closer the upper domain to the near-surface layers where cooling takes place, the stronger the downwards plumes and the deeper the penetration plumes

 Ongoing analysis of the impact of including a larger inner domain (stably stratified region extending down to rin=0.1)

Radial velocity snapshot for two different outer extensions





Next step: Quantitative analysis of internal waves Radial velocity fields are used to characterise internal gravity waves that propagate in the stable zone

Illustration for a Solar model r_{in}=0.4 - r_{out}=0.9

3D plot at r=0.45 3D plot at r=0.7 50 10^{7} 50 10^{7} 10⁵ - 10⁵ 40 40 frequency (µHz) frequency (µHz) · 10³ - 10³ 30 30 - 10¹ 10^{1} 20 20 10^{-1} 10^{-1} 10 10 10-3 - 10-3 10^{-5} 0 10-5 0 20 40 60 80 100 120 0 20 80 40 60 100 120 0 mode *l* mode *l*

Lesaux et al.

Spectrum of waves at different depths: (vr")²

Spectrum for different I at different depths



 Powerful tool to analyse the excitation spectrum of waves (stresses due to convective eddies at the boundary and/or penetrating plumes)
 Rogers & MacGregor 2011; Alvan et al. 2015

A motivation: Impact of extreme penetration events?

Story to be continued....

Conclusion (or thoughts...)

- Solar rotation, convective velocities, waves and convective penetration are linked
 Meed for a global framework
- Surface cooling and plumes dynamics play an important role
- What is the role of extreme penetrating plume events on wave excitation mechanism and properties?